

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No.: 2:23-cr-00132-APG-EJY

Plaintiff

V.

KOFI SARFO, ROSE SARFO,

Defendants

Order Accepting Report and Recommendation Denying Motion to Suppress

[ECF Nos. 64, 89]

Defendants Kofi Sarfo moved to suppress evidence he contends was illegally seized by
overnment. ECF No. 64. Magistrate Judge Youchah recommended that I deny the motion
t prejudice, in part because it is moot. ECF No. 89. Sarfo did not object. Thus, I am not
ed to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C.

12 § 636(b)(1)(c) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of
13 the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-*
14 *Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“[T]he district judge must review the
15 magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not
16 otherwise.” (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Youchah's report and recommendation
18 (ECF No. 89) is accepted, Kofi Sarfo's motion to suppress (ECF No. 64) is denied without
19 prejudice.

DATED this 6th day of August, 2024.

ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE